



# Tools, resources, genotyping and phenotyping

### Clare Lister and Simon Griffiths 1/2/2018

Cathy Mumford and the JIC Field Experimentation Team Simon Orford, Rajani Awal, Axel Lucmort Alba Farre-Martinez, Ji Zhou Griffiths Group – past and present Andrew Riche and RothRes Drone Team WGIN3 team





- **1.** Dissecting UK drought tolerance in Par x Gar
- 2. Quantifying agronomic impact of WGIN target genes using the Par NIL library
- 3. Informing multiple marker assisted selection for yield stability using Par library
- 4. A chromosome segment substitution library for Avalon x Cadenza
- 5. Understanding genotype x environment interaction in Avalon x Cadenza
- 6. Foundations for a new generation segregating populations for studying yield stability in the UK
- 7. Applying WGIN data to breeding by design for UK yield stability
- 8. Curation and distribution of WGIN germplasm





NILs are available for multiple alleles of: *Rht-D1, Rht-B1, Rht8, Ppd-B1 Ppd-D1, Lr19, 1BL.1RS* and *7B* (yield), 10 Heading Date QTL, *Vrn1, Vrn3*, grain size (5A, 7A), and selected WGIN mutants, such as EMS.







- 3 x Drought Trials with 177 PxG lines autumn drilled
- Church Farm, Bawburgh, Norfolk
   2015-2016 no drought in April
   2016-2017 April drought
   2017-2018 ?
- 2 randomised reps each of Irrigated (IR) and Not Irrigated (NI) plots
- Multiple traits scored, measured or observations made
- Aerial monitoring (JIC and RRes drones)

Date	Measured	Observations		
Stage 31	Height	Awns		
Booting	Yield	Ear Compactness		
Ear Emergence	Specific Weight	Lodging		
	TGWT	Tillering		
		Waxiness		

Senescence

• QTL mapping carried out where sufficient data

Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs

入影





		2016			2017					201
	Linkage	%Expl.	High value	Linkage	%Expl.	High value			Linkage	%Expl.
	group	Var	allele	group	Var	allele			group	Var
	2B	10.0	Gar	2B	9.3	Gar	$\mathbf{X}$	Viold NI		
	2D	21.5	Par	2D	21.2	Par		TIEIU INI	7B	16.8
Booting NI	4D	6.4	Gar					Yield IR	NO	QTLs DI
				5B	11.0	Par				
	7A	17.0	Par	7A	10.8	Par			2B	9.0
<b>.</b>	2B	9.5	Gar	2B	6.2	Gar	<b>X</b>	Specific	3A	6.1
Booting	2D	14.9	Par	2D	53.9	Par		Weight NI	4D	29.2
	7A	19.7	Par	7A	14.9	Par			5A	2.5
	2B	10.3	Gar	<mark>2</mark> 8	6.6	Gar	$\mathbf{X}$			
DTEM	2D	22.3	Par	2D	21.3	Par				
NI				5B	12.0	Par		Specific Weight IR		
	7A	17.1	Par						4D	38.1
	2B	9.8	Gar	2B	4.7	Gar	<b>X</b>		5A	6.8
DTEM				2D	38.4	Par			5A	7.0
iix	7A	15.3	Par	7A	21.1	Par			1A	18.2
	<b>1A</b>	4.4	Gar	<b>1A</b>	4.8	Gar	X		3B	4.5
Height NI	3B	3.7	Gar					TGWT		
	4D	62.3	Par	4D	62.3	Par		NI	5A	6.3
Height	<b>1</b> A	6.4	Gar	<b>1A</b>	5.1	Gar				
	3B	4.0	Gar	3B	3.9	Gar				
IR	4D	59.6	Par	4D	59.3	Par			<b>1A</b>	15.0
				7A	5.4	Par			4D	5.2

		2016		2017			
	Linkage group	%Expl. Var	High value allele	Linkage group	%Expl. Var	High value allele	
Yield NI	70	16.9	Cor	2B	17.7	Gar	X
Yield IR	NO	QTLs DET	ECTED	1A	11.4	Gar	
				<mark>2</mark> 8	17.0	Gar	X
	<mark>2</mark> 8	9.0	Gar	<mark>2</mark> 8	11.3	Gar	$\mathbf{X}$
Specific	3A	6.1	Par				
Weight	4D	29.2	Par	4D	33.7	Par	
NI	5A	2.5	Gar				
				7D	5.2	Gar	
				<b>1A</b>	5.0	Gar	$\mathbf{x}$
Specific				2B	9.4	Gar	X
Weight	4D	38.1	Par	4D	33.0	Par	
IR	5A	6.8	Par				
	5A	7.0	Gar				٨
	<b>1A</b>	18.2	Gar	1A	14.6	Gar	X
	3B	4.5	Gar				,
TGWT				4D	16.6	Par	
NI	5A	6.3	Gar	5A	5.0	Gar	
				5B	8.4	Gar	
				7A	12.3	Gar	A
	<b>1</b> A	15.0	Gar				
	4D	5.2	Par	4D	18.7	Par	
TGW IR	5A	6.5	Gar	5A	7.1	Gar	
	5B	14.2	Gar	5B	9.2	Gar	
				7A	8.2	Gar	

Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs

2B and 1A - good candidates for increased yield, in both IR and NI





Gar

Gar

		2016	5		201	7			2016			2017		
	Linkage	%Expl.	High value	Linkage	%Expl.	High value			Linkage	%Expl.	High value	Linkage	%Expl.	High value
	group	Var	allele	group	Var	allele			group	Var	allele	group	Var	allele
	<mark>2</mark> B	10.0	Gar	2B	9.3	Gar	<b>\X</b>	Viold NI				2B	17.7	Gar
	2D	21.5	Par	2D	21.2	Par		TIEIU INI	7B	16.8	Gar			
Booting NI	4D	6.4	Gar					Yield IR	NO	QTLs DET	ECTED	1A	11.4	Gar
				5B	11.0	Par						2B	17.0	Gar
	7A	17.0	Par	7A	10.8	Par			2B	9.0	Gar	2B	11.3	Gar
<b>.</b>	2B	9.5	Gar	2B	6.2	Gar	$\mathbf{X}$	Specific	3A	6.1	Par			
Booting	2D	14.9	Par	2D	53.9	Par		Weight	4D	29.2	Par	4D	33.7	Par
iiv	7A	19.7	Par	7A	14.9	Par		NI	5A	2.5	Gar			
	<mark>2</mark> B	10.3	Gar	2B	6.6	Gar					7D	5.2	Gar	
DTEM	2D	22.3	Par	2D	21.3	Par	, ,					1A	5.0	Gar
NI				5B	12.0	Par	Specific Weight				2B	9.4	Gar	
	7A	17.1	Par					Weight	4D	38.1	Par	4D	33.0	Par
DTEM	<mark>2</mark> 8	9.8	Gar	2B	4.7	Gar	$\mathbf{X}$	IR	5A	6.8	Par			
				2D	38.4	Par		5A	7.0	Gar				
iii,	7A	15.3	Par	7A	21.1	Par			<b>1A</b>	18.2	Gar	<b>1A</b>	14.6	Gar
11-1-64	<b>1A</b>	4.4	Gar	1A	4.8	Gar	$\mathbf{X}$		3B	4.5	Gar			
NI	3B	3.7	Gar					TGWT				4D	16.6	Par
	4D	62.3	Par	4D	62.3	Par		NI	5A	6.3	Gar	5A	5.0	Gar
	<b>1A</b>	6.4	Gar	1A	5.1	Gar	$\mathbf{x}$					5B	8.4	Gar
Height	3B	4.0	Gar	3B	3.9	Gar						7A	12.3	Gar
IR	4D	59.6	Par	4D	59.3	Par			<b>1A</b>	15.0	Gar			
				7A	5.4	Par			4D	5.2	Par	4D	18.7	Par
								TGW IR	5A	6.5	Gar	5A	7.1	Gar

教 Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs 2B and 1A - good candidates for increased yield, in both IR and NI In 2017 1A for TGWT is specific to the NI plots

14.2

5B

Gar

5B

7A

9.2

8.2







Same yield for Par in NI and IR plots Slightly higher yield for Gar in IR plots

Gar one of highest yielding lines



Yields at least 1.5 kg lower than 2016

20% yield reduction for Par and Gar between the IR and NI plots

Many lines performing better than Gar in NI



hn Innes Centre



Selected lines which perform better than Gar under drought conditions Lines have highest yield in NI compared to IR Lines have higher yield than Gar in NI





- Lines carry Gar allele () for a TGWT QTL on 4D (=Rht)
- Has *smaller* grains but can produce *more grains* under drought conditions than other lines?
- Lines carry the Gar allele (() for a HT, YLD and SW QTL on  $\frac{1A}{1A}$
- 14/15 lines carry the Gar allele () for YLD QTL on  $\frac{2B}{2B}$  (not *Ppd*)
- Possible gene candidate for this QTL (from promotome input)
- A gene involved in GA biosynthesis, inactivation and signalling
- The 1A and 2B QTL's will be nominated for DFW BTK

# 2. Quantifying agronomic impact of WGIN target genes using the Par NIL library



#### HAVE YOU HEARD ABOUT THE PARAGON LIBRARY?

WGIN has been part of an informal consortium developing NILs in the genetic background of the UK spring wheat Paragon. The collection, known as the Paragon Library, was developed at JIC and consists of around 350 lines.

The project involves crossing different combinations of genes, QTLs and mutations into the common background of Paragon and then studying the phenotypic effects. This uniform genetic background this will provide a unique insight into the potential value of these genetic effects for UK breeding and agriculture. Most of the effects were discovered in work funded by DEFRA, the BBSRC and AHDB and represent hundreds of person-years' of research.

Most of the Paragon Library has already been trialled in 1 m and 6 m plots for the duration of WGIN. Phenotypic data from these trials (phenotypes underlying grain yield and crop adaptation) should become available on the WGIN website from spring 2018.

The Paragon Library will be genotyped on the Axiom 35k Breeders' Array shortly and seed from the genotyped plants will be used to generate the resource for distribution.

NILs are available for multiple alleles of: *Rht-D1, Rht-B1, Rht8, Ppd-B1 Ppd-D1, Lr19, 1BL.1RS* and *7B* (yield), 10 Heading Date QTL, *Vrn1, Vrn3*, grain size (5A, 7A), and selected WGIN mutants, such as EMS.

**Clare Lister and Simon Griffiths** 









2. Quantifying agronomic impact of WGIN target genes using the Par NIL library

- Par Library (PL) to be genotyped on 35K array
- *Currently* PL library of 354 lines remainder are controls
- Data to WGIN website

5A and 7A Grain Size
7B Yield
1B1R Yield
Lr19 - Alien Introgression
RhtD1
RhtB1
RhtB1/RhtD1 crosses with Rht8
Par EMS mutants, including Staygreen
<i>Ppd</i> copy number
Paragon + Vrn
Heading date loci on 1B, 1D, 3A, 3B, 4A, 6A, 7A, 7B, 7D
from different donors

# 2. Quantifying agronomic impact of WGIN target genes using the Par NIL library

### Subset of Par Library

Alien
introgression
Staygreen
DTEM
DTEM
DTEM
DTEM
Height
Height
Height

Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs

## Drought Trial 2016 Drought Trial 2017



### Phenospex 2016 Phenospex 2017

- Two randomised blocks of 16
- One treatment all plots + nitrogen (40 kg/h)
- Two subsequent treatments +/- additional nitrogen (250 kg/h)





- Collaboration with Ji Zhou and Wilfried Haerty groups (EI) and Richard Morris (JIC)
- Phenospex measurements show time when growth rates of Paragon/Rht8 diverge from RhtB1/RhtD1
- Single plant collected from each plot at each time point
- RNA seq analysis and gene network modelling to be funded by JIC ISF



# 3. Informing multiple marker assisted selection

Combining gibberellic acid-sensitive and insensitive dwarfing genes in breeding of higher-yielding, sesqui-dwarf wheats

G.J. Rebetzke\*, D.G. Bonnett<sup>1</sup>, M.H. Ellis<sup>1</sup>

- Reductions in plant height are associated with increase harvest index and wheat yields.
- Largest increase due to development of semi-dwarf wheats through introgression of the gibberellic acid (GA)-insensitive, Rht-B1 and Rht-D1 alleles.
- Selection of Rht8C + Rht-B1B or Rht-D1D could facilitate development of high-yielding varieties targeting favourable and unfavourable environments, such as drought and low N



## 3. Informing multiple marker assisted selection for yield stability using the Par library

- Lines generated to test this:
- RhtB1 x Rht8
- RhtD1 x Rht8
- RhtB1 x RhtD1
- 1 m plots 2016-17 **Yield** 1.40 1.20 1.00 0.80 50 0.60 0.40 0.20 0.00 Rht8\_Mara RhtB1\_Rob RhtD1 x Rht8 RhtB1 x Rht8 RhtB1 x RhtD1 P<sub>aragon</sub> RhtD1\_Alc



John Innes Centre

RhtB1+RhtD1 Rht8+RhtD1 Rht8+RhtB1 Par

### Three rep Yield Trial (6m plots) drilled Oct 2017





- 1. Dissecting UK drought tolerance in Par x Gar
- 2. Quantifying agronomic impact of WGIN target genes using the Par NIL library
- 3. Informing multiple marker assisted selection for yield stability using Par library
- 4. A chromosome segment substitution library for Avalon x Cadenza
- 5. Understanding genotype x environment interaction in Avalon x Cadenza
- 6. Foundations for a new generation segregating populations for studying yield stability in the UK
- 7. Applying WGIN data to breeding by design for UK yield stability
- 8. Curation and distribution of WGIN germplasm



## **WGIN3 Projects: Avalon and Cadenza**



- WGIN successfully promoted the AxC DH population as UK reference population
- A x C population most densely mapped in the world
- Much phenotypic data also available
- NILs derived from these have validated QTLs

4. A chromosome segment substitution library (CSSL) for Avalon x Cadenza

5. Understanding genotype x environment interaction in Avalon x Cadenza

7. Applying WGIN data to breeding by design for UK yield stability

刻於

Department for Environment

## 4. A chromosome segment substitution library (CSSL) for Avalon x Cadenza

- The BC3 NILs carry selected genetic foreground in the QTL • regions (height, heading, and yield)
- In addition each line carries ~12.5% random chromosomal background.
- Undertaking tiling the whole genome with chromosome • segments to make recombinant substitution lines.





## 4. A chromosome segment substitution library (CSSL) for Avalon x Cadenza

- Have used several methods to generate maps of (i.e. based on frame-map, Axiom info, pseudomolecules and now NRGene v1.0 sequence
- Genotyping carried out on 94 NILs (+ Av/Cad) using Breeders 35K array
- Estimate of % coverage of background (+ foreground) for each chromosome based on NRGene v1.0 sequence
- NILs backcrossed to the recurrent parent and selfed to generate lines where QTL region and
   random segments have been separated
   Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs

SUBSTITUTION COVERAGE							
	Avalon Cadenz						
	43 lines	46 lines					
1A	~10%	>95%					
1B	>95%	~90%					
1D	~30%	~90%					
2A	~20%	~95%					
2B	~20%	~90%					
2D	~20%	~90%					
3A	~95%	~95%					
3B	~95%	~95%					
3D	~80%	~60%					
4A	~80%	~75%					
4B	~90%	~95%					
4D	?	?					
5A	~90%	~95%					
5B	~95%	~95%					
5D	~75%	~80%					
6A	~95%	>95%					
6B	~95%	~80%					
6D	~95%	~95%					
7A	~70%	~85%					
7B	~60%	~75%					
7D	~95%	~70%					
INCLUDES QTL FOREGROUND							



## 

- Fifty-seven lines selected to give maximum coverage with minimum number of lines
- Seedling growing in 96-well trays...
- DNA preps
- Will genotype with markers for introgressed regions.



## 5. Understanding genotype x environment interaction in Avalon x Cadenza

Farré et al. BMC Plant Biology (2016) 16:161 DOI 10.1186/s12870-016-0849-6

**BMC Plant Biology** 

#### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

#### **Open Access**



#### Application of a library of near isogenic lines to understand context dependent expression of QTL for grain yield and adaptive traits in bread wheat

Alba Farré, Liz Sayers, Michelle Leverington-Waite, Richard Goram, Simon Orford, Luzie Wingen, Cathy Mumford and Simon Griffiths (2)

#### Abstract

**Background:** Previous quantitative trait loci (QTLs) studies using the Avalon × Cadenza doubled haploid (DH) population identified eleven QTLs determining plant height, heading date and grain yield. The objectives of this study were: (i) to provide insight into the effects of these QTLs using reciprocal multiple near isogenic lines (NILs) with each pair of alleles compared in both parental backgrounds (Avalon or Cadenza), (ii) quantifying epistasis by looking at the background effects and (iii) predict favourable allelic combinations to develop superior genotypes adapted to a target environment.

**Results:** To this aim, a library of 553 BC<sub>2</sub> NLs and their recurrent parents were tested over two growing seasons (2012/2013 and 2013/2014). The results obtained in the present study validated the plant height, heading date and grain yield QTLs previously identified. Epistatic interactions were detected for the 6B QTL for plant height and heading date, 3A QTL for heading date and grain yield and 2A QTL for grain yield.

**Conclusion:** The marker assisted backcrossing strategy used provided an efficient method of resolving QTL for key agronomic traits in wheat as Mendelian factors determining possible epistatic interactions. The study shows that these QTLs are amenable to marker assisted selection, fine mapping, future positional doning, and physiological trait dissection.

Keywords: Yield, Wheat, Near isogenic lines, QTL

# 6. Foundations for a new generation segregating populations for studying yield stability in the UK

Female		Male	RL value Diversity target	RL value Diversity target	RL value Diversity target
Grafton	x	Conqueror	lodging	mildew	eyespot
Grafton	х	Revelation	heading	brown rust	fusarium
Beluga	х	Cordialle	protein	hagberg	TGW
Scout	х	Denman	lodging		
Revelation	х	Gallant	heading	fusarium	
KWS Sterling	х	Alchemy	height		
KWS Santiago	х	Scout	yield	eyespot	
KWS Santiago	х	Solstice	yield		
KWS Santiago	x	Gallant	hagberg	market share	
KWS Kielder	х	Scout	yield		
KWS Kielder	х	Einstein	yield		
KWS Gator	х	Gallant			
KWS Gator	х	Revelation	septoria tritici		
KWS Croft	х	Scout	lodging		
lcon	x	Skyfall			
Horatio	х	KWS Gator	GS31		
Grafton	x	Solstice	height	eyespot	
Gallant	х	Invicta	heading	market share	
Gallant	х	KWS Kielder	market share		
Einstein	х	KWS Santiago	yield		
Cougar	х	Claire	yield untr		
Cordialle	x	Revelation	heading	brown rust	
Cordialle	х	Crusoe	GS31	market share	
Cordialle	х	Alchemy	height		
Cordialle	х	Invicta	heading		
Conqueror	х	Scout	lodging	eyespot	
Claire	X	Revelation	yield untr		

#### **Simon Orford**

ohn Innes Centre

- Populations in pink at F5 (-> F5/F6) aiming for <400 lines each</li>
- Populations in yellow at F4 (-> F5/F6) aiming for <400 lines each</li>
- All other crosses at F2
- Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs

教

# 7. Applying WGIN data to breeding by design for UK yield stability



Mol Breeding (2015) 35:70 DOI 10.1007/s11032-015-0268-7

#### Using the UK reference population Avalon × Cadenza as a platform to compare breeding strategies in elite Western European bread wheat

Juan Ma · Luzie U. Wingen · Simon Orford · Paul Fenwick · Jiankang Wang · Simon Griffiths

Received: 9 May 2014/Accepted: 15 September 2014 © The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Wheat breeders select for qualitative and quantitative traits, the latter often detected as quantitative trait loci (QTL). It is, however, a long procedure from QTL discovery to the successful introduction of favourable alleles into new elite varieties and finally into farmers' crops. As a proof of principle for this process, QTL for grain yield (GY), yield components, plant height(PH), ear emergence (EM), solid stem (SS) and yellow rust resistance (Yr) were identified in segregating UK bread wheat reference population, Avalon × Cadenza. Among the 163 detected QTL were several not reported before: 17 for GY, the major GY QTL on 2D; a major SS QTL on 3B; and Yr6 on 7B. Common QTL were identified on ten chromosomes,

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11032-015-0268-7) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

most interestingly, grain number (GN) was found to be associated with Rht-D1b; and GY and GN with a potential new allele of Rht8. The interaction of other QTL with GY and yield components was discussed in the context of designing a UK breeding target genotype. Desirable characteristics would be: similar PH and EM to Avalon; Rht-D1b and Vrn-A1b alleles; high TGW and GN; long and wide grains; a large root system, resistance to diseases; and maximum GY. The potential of the identified QTL maximising transgressive segregation to produce a high-yielding and resilient genotype was demonstrated by simulation. Moreover, simulating breeding strategies with F2 enrichment revealed that the F2-DH procedure was superior to the RIL and the modified SSD procedure to achieve that genotype. The proposed strategies of parent selection and breeding methodology can be used as guidance for marker-assisted wheat breeding.



教教

Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs

# 7. Applying WGIN data to breeding by design for UK yield stability



- 'Ideal' ACDH lines to be crossed were selected by analysis of the QTL data
- These would have three high yielding QTL (GY) alleles
- However most 'ideal' lines had unfavourable QTL alleles elsewhere
- Therefore made best selection possible...

Cross	Parent 1	Parent 2	
Cross 1	DH109	DH160	DH109 is high yielding parent. DH160 has complementary alleles at all selected loci for achieving target genotype
Cross 2	DH61	DH182	Neither parents carried all favourable GY alleles, but between the parents all favourable alleles were present.
Cross 3	DH27	DH61	Neither parents carried all favourable GY alleles, but between the parents all favourable alleles were present.

Cross	Parent 1	Parent 2	
Cross 1	DH109	DH160	F2 progeny only from 1 plant*
Cross 2	DH61	DH182	F2 progeny from many plants
Cross 3	DH27	DH61	F2 progeny from many plants

• F2 lines need to be genotyped but lack resources to do this.

\* poor F1 seed set and few F2, reason for this?





- 3N alien introgressions (from *Aegilops uniaristata*) into Chinese Spring (three lines Rec4-1, Rec5-1 and Rec 6-3)
- Shows aluminium tolerance
- Al toxicity primarily affects the division and elongation of the root apex
- 3N line shows prolific root phenotype.
- Crossed to winter elite lines **Cordiale**, Napier and Robigus







- 3N alien introgressions (from *Aegilops uniaristata*) into Chinese Spring (three lines Rec4-1, Rec5-1 and Rec 6-3)
- Shows aluminium tolerance
- Al toxicity primarily affects the division and elongation of the root apex
- 3N line shows prolific root phenotype.
- Crossed to winter elite lines Cordiale, Napier and Robigus
- Currently only one combination homozygous
- Drilled 1 m plots autumn 2017
- Suggested this line nominated for DFW BTK



#### Genetic Improvement Network WGIN3 Projects: Exploring New Technology

#### A REALLY USEFUL FIELD SCORING APP!

One of WGIN's remits is to explore and disseminate new technology. KDSmart is part of the "KDDart" platform from DArT. However the app can also be used in standalone mode for the collection of field data and is recommended by CIMMYT http://www.cimmyt.org/

KDSmart can be downloaded free from Google Playstore onto an Android device. There are several demo trials to practice with (recommended!).

There is very detailed information available on how to use the app and they are responsive to feedback. <u>http://www.kddart.org/kdsmart.html</u> <u>http://www.kddart.org/help/kdsmart/index.html</u>

We used KDSmart for scoring the Paragon x Garcia Drought Trial at JIC this year and were very impressed with it's user-friendliness and performance. We therefore feel confident in recommending it to farmers, breeders and researchers. Give it a try, it's **free** after all!

Clare Lister and Simon Griffiths

\*Disclaimer: JIC has no commercial arrangement with Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT)



### KDSmart

### (KDXplore)

Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs

Wheat

Wheat Genetic Improvement

Network





2004



2011



2012



2013







2014



2017

2015

2016

Andrew Riche February 2018



Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs Wheat Genetic Improvement Network





Wheat Variety + Nitrogen interaction experiment

2004 - 2018

 N applications:
 0kg /ha

 50:50:0
 100kg/ha

 50:100:50
 200kg/ha

 50:250:50
 350kg/ha

**2018:** Added Barrel, Zyat Dropped Cocoon, Gallant















#### At N200 0.6 t/ha /decade increase







2 years data, 2016 + 2017 Ordered by year of introduction

> Ignoring Hystar, just 4 days difference between earliest and latest, most within 2 days.

Surprised by Hystar! Just 6 days difference, many within 2 days



ROTHAMSTED RESEARCH



	NO	N100	N200	N350
Anthesis (days after May 1 <sup>st</sup> )	36	35	35	35
Maturity (days after May 1 <sup>st</sup> )	82	82	80	82

2 years data, 2016 + 2017

Means of all lines - No effect of N





2015-2017



Slight evidence that taller lines yield less

- biased by Maris Widgeon

Heights do not vary much – 16 within 10cm





	NO	N100	N200	N350
Mean Height				
(cm)	58	76	82	82

No increase between N200 & N350









2014-2017 spikes were counted as part of anthesis sampling, 2017 TGW data not yet received, so mean of 3 years



## Components of yield – spikes/unit area

Spikes/unit area = spikes/plant x plants/unit area

Increase in yield with spike population Above NO.

N affects spike population/tillering/plant survival

#### Very small effect of breeding






# Components of yield – grains/spike

Yield increases with grains/spike at all N levels

N level affects grains/spike

Grains/spike increasing through breeding – combined with N







Very slight increase in yield with TGW Unlikely to be significant

N has no effect on TGW

No evidence that breeding has affected TGW





Components of yield – grains/unit area













Increasing spike number tends to decrease TGW







Increasing spike number tends to decrease grains/spike







• Grains/spike independent of TGW





- 1. Little difference between anthesis date and maturity for current varieties.
- 2. Hybrids tend to be earlier
- 3. Height: little variation between varieties
- 4. TGW not affected by breeding or N, may be weakly related to yield
- 5. Grains/spike related to yield, affected by N and/or breeding
- 6. Spike population related to yield, affected by N, little effect of breeding
- 7. Grains/unit area highly correlated with yield influenced by grains/spike



RESEARCH



#### Rothamsted

ROTHAMSTED RESEARCH

Malcolm Hawkesford March Castle David Steele Saroj Parmar Peter Barraclough

#### Farm Staff

Stephen Goward Chris Mackay Nick Chichester-Miles Mark Gardener











# Cereal aphids - WGIN update

#### Gia Aradottir



Wheat Genetic Improver Network

#### Introduction





Bird-cherry oat aphid (*Rhopalosiphum padi*)



English grain aphid (Sitobion avenae)





### **Mapping populations**









#### Crosses

MDR037 x MDR045 MDR037 x MDR049 MDR037 x MDR657



F1, F2 and F3 generations of these crosses have now been tested in the phenotyping screen against both aphid species.

# Cross MDR037 x MDR045 (F3)





# Cross MDR037 x MDR657 (F3)



□ MDR037x657 ■ Solstice

#### Number of nymphs surviving at 7 days







# Cross MDR037 x MDR049 (F3)









□ 37x49 ■ Solstice

□ 37x49 ■ Solstice

#### Identification and assessment of aphids and BYDV in wheat



- Development of identification techniques
  - taq-man assay
  - Imaging







#### Conclusions

- Some success with mapping populations, especially MDR037x049 cross
- F4 seed being threshed and will be screened (probably under DFW)
- Imaging technique being developed to help future screening of aphid infestation and BYDV







Rothamsted Research where knowledge grows

### WGIN 3 Resistance to foliar diseases

Vanessa McMillan Kim Hammond-Kosack



Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs

BBSRC Bioscience for the future ROTHAMSTED RESEARCH

WGIN MM 1<sup>st</sup> Feb 2018

### Watkins foliar disease field trials

1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> wheat replicated field trials

Three field seasons 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017



26<sup>th</sup> June 2015 1<sup>st</sup> wheat Long Hoos 4







#### **Evidence of resistance to yellow rust**



- Hereward and Paragon are good sources of resistance to current YR races
- Watkins 203 and 610 most promising for multi-disease resistance (YR, BR, S and PM)
- Watkins 733 and 786 do not possess multi disease resistance very susceptible to BR
- Watkins parents currently being screened for some known rust resistance genes by RAGT

 Wheat
 Import

 Genetic
 Department

 Improvement
 for Environment

 Network
 Food & Rural Affairs



ROTHAMSTED

RESEARCH

#### **Evidence of resistance to yellow rust**





Warrior-type races dominant across UK in 2015, 2016 and 2017

2017 field trial – samples submitted to "Field Pathogenomics" pathogen surveillance programme







# All samples from 2017 field trial belong to Group 4





Group 4 is the dominant genetic group of YR in the UK



Phylogenetic tree from Diane Saunders, JIC

## Watkins mapping population development





Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs



ROTHAMSTED

RESEARCH

# Watkins mapping population development



ROTHAMSTED RESEARCH

Accession Number	Growth habit	Country of Origin	Ears crossed	F <sub>1</sub> grains	Crossing
203	Winter	India	8	31	Field 2015
231	Spring	Hungary	8	54	Field 2015
610	Spring	Yugoslavia	6	33	Field 2015
733	Spring	Iran	6	49	Field 2015
786	Spring	USSR	10	108	Glasshouse 2016

Watkins 786 originally excluded from field crossing because had high levels of yellow rust during tillering – possibly APR?

Watkins genotypes to be phenotyped for seedling resistance at NIAB in March 2018 (Blue 7, Red 24 and Kranich race to be tested)



#### Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs



# **Genetics of resistance – F<sub>1</sub> generation**

 F<sub>1</sub> grain included in field trial in 2015/16 (W203, W231, W610, W733) or 2016/17 (W786) to study inheritance



- Dominantly inherited resistance for W733 and W786
- Intermediate resistance phenotypes for  $F_1$  of W203, W231 and W610



ROTHAMSTED

RESEARCH

## **Genetics of resistance – F<sub>2</sub> generation**

• F<sub>2</sub> grain included in 2016/17 field trial (W203, W231, W610, W733)



Complete resistance : Fully Susceptible No sporulation : > 90% YR

> 132 R : 9 S 14.7 R : 1 S

Two unlinked dominant loci

But some intermediate phenotypes: Neighbouring plants with lots of inoculum Other minor effect loci affecting overall plant physiology

Should give 3 R : 1 S ratio in backcross





## **Genetics of resistance** – F<sub>2</sub> generation

- F<sub>2</sub> grain included in 2016/17 field trial (W203, W231, W610, W733)
- Fielder x W203, Fielder x 231 and Fielder x 610 (with intermediate F<sub>1</sub> phenotypes) all gave more complex segregation ratios

Fielder x W203	Fielder x W231	Fielder x W610	
24 R : 37 I : 19 S	16 R : 29 I : 35 S	30 R : 14 I : 32 S	
1.2 : 1.9 : 1	1:2:2	2:1:2	
Large number of intermediates	Weakest parental resistance Skewed towards fully susceptible phenotype	Lack of intermediate phenotypes Interaction effect with other	
Should give 1 I : 1 S ratio in		loci?	
backcross	Suppressor locus from Fielder?	610 physiological later maturing variety	





#### Genetics of resistance – BC<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> generations



#### $BC_1$ populations

backcross	harvested
203	145
231	169
610	171
733	175

#### F<sub>2</sub> FxW786 populations

Fx786 F <sub>1</sub> Plant	F <sub>2</sub> harvested seed
1	579
2	610
3	605
4	251
5	No seed
6	335

 Include F<sub>1</sub> plants and parental genotypes to benchmark the phenotype of known hets – confirm if we see variation in hets due to potential suppressor alleles

 Field trial drilled 16<sup>th</sup> October 2017 in Long Hoos 6/7



## Resistance to Septoria-2017/18 Field Trial



Replicated field trials with Watkins landraces (51 genotypes with nil or trace diseased search 2008), CIMMYT material from international septoria nursery programme (50 genotypes), hexaploid wheats with known Stb resistance genes and controls (31 genotypes)







- 2 replicates of each genotype, spreader rows of Avalon
- Fungicide regime to control rusts and irrigation in spring if required
- Above ground plant phenotypes to be recorded plant height, flowering, leaf senescence
- Visual disease assessments to be carried out at several dates during growing season
- Leaf samples also to be taken for analysis using ImageJ software % necrotic leaf area, pycnidia size and density



#### Resistance to take-all disease in Triticum monococcum

- 3<sup>rd</sup> wheat field trials 2006-2011 (WGIN 1 and 2)
- 34 T. monococcum accessions (AA diploid genome) tested over 5 years







McMillan et al. (2014) BMC Plant Biology 14: 212





#### **F<sub>2</sub>** *Tm* cross progeny numbers:

Parentage		Estimated F <sub>3</sub> progeny
		number
MDR031 (R) x MDR043 (vS)	31 ears from 3 plants	450
MDR031 x MDR229	16 ears from 1 plant	320
MDR031 x MDR650	48 ears from 3 plants	900
MDR043 (vS) x MDR031 (R )	48 ears from 3 plants	960
MDR043 (vS) x MDR046 (R)	36 ears from 3 plants	750
MDR229 x MDR031	94 ears from 6 plants	2000

Populations advanced by SSD from  $F_4$  to  $F_6$  for field phenotyping



#### Triticum monococcum MDR031(R) x MDR043 (S) mapping population

- 516  $F_2$  seeds  $\longrightarrow$  380  $F_6$  lines (0.5-33.5g of each available at  $F_6$ )
- **129 lines** > 18 g seed selected for field trial + parents + Hereward control plots
- Plot size 4 rows x 0.6 m length, 80 seeds per plot, 3-5 reps per genotype
- 3<sup>rd</sup> wheat field trial drilled in Claycroft on 6<sup>th</sup> Oct 2016
- Plant samples (3 x 20cm row lengths) taken July 2017 and currently being assessed



• Repeat trial drilled in Long Hoos 3 on 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2017





#### Many thanks to

Kim Hammond-Kosack

Undergraduate summer students Erin Baggs Eleanor Leane Tessa Reid Laurie Neal Alex Chambers-Ostler Leanne Freeman Jamie Hawkesford Ellen Farnham

Gail Canning - seed preparation

Mike Hammond-Kosack - crossing

Rodger White - statistics

RRes farm and glasshouse staff



Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs





Rothamsted Research where knowledge grows

## WGIN 3 The WGIN Promotome Capture Experiment Update

#### WGIN MM 1<sup>st</sup> February 2018

Michael Hammond-Kosack



Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs





• Please note:

ALL Promotome slides removed because of the IWGSC pre-publication agreement



#### WGIN 3 Breeders Priority Traits Questionnaire (August – November 2017) (KHK)

- Based around the 10 traits used for the promotome capture experiment plus additional traits identified by the WGIN team 107 sub-traits
- Nine breeding companies contacted individually
- Outcome: high level of consistency between companies but also notable differences
- Summary of the top results (max score 30) on next page
## WGIN 3 Breeders Priority Traits Questionnaire (August – November 2017)

Resilience	Sustainability	Quality	Resource
			enciency
29* Septoria leaf blotch	24* Lodging	25* Specific weight	23* Nitrogen
29 BYDV	24 Deep rooting	23 Grain protein deviation	
28 Loss of chemistry	24 Early root establishment	22 Pre harvest sprouting	
25 Yellow Rust	24 Floret fertility	22 Grain size	
24 Floral health - ergot	23 Yield stability	22 Grain filling rate	
24 Floral health - fusarium	23 Season independent yield QTLs	22 Grain filling duration	
23 Aphids	22 Context independent yield QTLs		
22 Bulb Fly	22 Sterility		
	22 Staygreen - canopy senescence		
	22 Stem Height		
	22 Spikelet fertility		
* max value 30	22 Ear size		